

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/567,093	02/03/2006	Mitsuru Naito	OGW-0416	4635
Patrick G. Burns Greer, Burns & Crain, Ltd. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Drive			EXAMINER	
			FISCHER, JUSTIN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60606			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/567.093 NAITO, MITSURU Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

	Justin R. Fischer	1791					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-136g.). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO gend of reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication. - If NO gend of the provision of the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication. - If NO gend of the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication. - If NO gend of the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication.							
Status							
N Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Fe</u> N This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. In have been received in Application of the process of the	ion No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)	_						

Attachment(s)	
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/DE)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5] Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>020306</u> .	6)

Application/Control Number: 10/567,093 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

regards as the invention.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the fiber reinforced layer" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wohlfarth (DE 3417528). As best depicted in Figure 1, Wohlfarth teaches a wheel assembly comprising a runflat support member 50 that is coaxial with a rim 42. The wheel assembly of Wohlfarth further includes retention grooves on the inner peripheral surface of said tire (grooves are defined by regions between adjacent protrusions, e.g. 50 and 56). It is noted that the claims as currently drafted do not require the presence of a lubricant but rather the presence of a retention groove "for a lubricant".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/567,093

Art Unit: 1791

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be necetived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wohlfarth.

As to claim 2, the reference generally depicts the presence of a plurality of grooves having a small section height or depth. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to form the retention grooves of Wohlfarth with a wide variety of depths, including those detailed by the claimed invention, absent any conclusive showing of unexpected results. It is further noted that the claims require absolute dimensions and it is well recognized that tire dimensions are directly related to the type of tire (and thus the tire size- tire components are generally larger in larger tires).

Regarding claim 3, the claims essentially define the retention grooves as having a width between 10 and 20 mm. As detailed above, such limitations represent absolute dimensions and it is well recognized that tire dimensions are directly related to the type of tire (and thus the tire size- tire components are generally larger in larger tires). Additionally, applicant has not provided a conclusive showing of unexpected results to establish a criticality for the claimed dimensions. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to form the retention grooves of Wohlfarth in accordance to the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1791

With respect to claims 4 and 5, conventional tire assemblies include at least one carcass ply. In the instance where two carcass plies are provided, an innermost carcass ply can be viewed as a fiber reinforced layer that is sandwiched between an outermost carcass ply ("a carcass ply" as defined by the claimed invention) and a bottom of the retention grooves. Furthermore, such carcass plies are formed with either a biased or radial construction (satisfies claimed range between 45 and 90 degrees with respect to circumferential direction of tire).

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin R. Fischer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1215. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,093 Page 5

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Justin Fischer /Justin R Fischer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791 December 9, 2008